

Exploring Lexical Variation in a Growing Corpus of DGS

Sabrina Wähl, Gabriele Langer, Anke Müller, Julian Bleicken, Thomas Hanke, Reiner Konrad University of Hamburg, Institute of German Sign Language and Communication of the Deaf

Universität Hamburg DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

UH

AKADEMIE DER WISSENSCHAFTEN IN HAMBURG

DGS Corpus recorded 2010-2012

- Number of informants 330
- Controlled sample balanced for
- gender
- 13 regions
- 4 age groups: 18-30, 31-45, 46-60, 61+
- Native and near-native signers



Data

- Filmed conversations and staged
- communicative events (Nishio et al. 2010)
- Multi-modal corpus, lemmatised and accessible through iLex (Hanke/ Storz 2008)
- About 560 h footage of natural signing
- Lemmatised: 576.400 tokens (2019-09-23)

Starting Point

- The size of our corpus supports analyses of regional variation. Regional distribution of lexical variants of roughly synonymic sign clusters can easily be visualised on maps (cf. Hanke et. al. 2017).
- However: Often several competing signs of a sign cluster are used within the same region and even by a single individual.

Question

• Looking beyond regional and sociolinguistic background: What other factors influence the lexical choice of signers?

homonymy/polysemy cluster (same form – different meanings)		synonymy cluster (same meaning – different forms)									
a person uses several meanings	a person uses only one meaning	a	person uses only one fo	\checkmark	a person uses several forms						
semantics	region & age	socio-linguistic environment	age	personal preferences	syntactic behaviour	phonotactics	iconic reasons	pragmatic reasons	slight semantic differences	chance?	
		 region school family 		 political correctness 	1	2	3	adapting to	 context not all senses 		



forms of			ougon		sagen	oproonon	opraono	gootaro			
predominant meaning as used by signer Xindependent of hearing status or language used: introducing the conter of an utterance, citation or opinion of somebood e.g. 'she said'; focus on content		t of hearing guage the content nce, citation f somebody, d'; ontent	hearing person speaking in a spoken language to Deaf person(s), some using especially articulated lip movements or supporting their speaking by gestures; focus on manner of (visible) articulation		reference to specific (spoken) language(s); Deaf person speaking a spoken language; focus on ability to speak (also used as element of loan compounds)		hearing person(s) use spoken language while Deaf person(s) present do not have access to the content (usually in group situations such as school or in mixed groups); focus on inaccessibility of content		not used by X		
Synonymy	v cluster: ⁻	TOGETH	ER		lexical	variants					
	TOGET + 2 var	THER1A riants		TOGETHE	ER3A	TOC	GETHER6		FOGETHER- PERSON1		
token count		552		230			39		126		
semantic difference	togethe	er: in a gro	up 4	together: two	persons	togethe two pa	r: two pers rties (abst	sons/ to ract)	ogether: two	persons	
polysemy	ʻgroup' tokens) (43 cor	(328 corp , 'commun pus token	us nity' is)	'with (1075 corpus)	, s tokens)	ʻwith tokens), c	(31 corpus mo sign becoming sign bsolete		<i>norphologically related</i> <i>signs:</i> TO-ACCOMPANY1A, TO-SEPARATE4B		
syntactic behaviour	spatial (1	modification locus)	on	deictic use, modification	spatial (2 loci)	spatia (al modification spatial mod (1 locus) (1 or 2		spatial modif (1 or 2 lc	ication ci)	
iconicity	depicting handshape: size & shape			no depicting handshape			d	depicting handshapes: '2 persons'			
 Contrastive analysis of meaning 				 Strategy of individual informants using both: difference in semantic roles indicated 				S .	Strategy:use in context of two		



AFRICA1

Political Correctness / Age Variation

In the case of 'Africa' the preferred use of a lexical variant AFRICA1 (used by 21 informants) in comparison to AFRICA2 (used by 4

informants) is attested.

AFRICA2

This is a case of age variation. AFRICA2 apparently is becoming obsolete. This may be due to the fact that it is perceived as politically

Use of signs for 'Africa' by age groups: 25 informants (with 43 tokens)



• Contrastive analysis of meaning	• difference in semantic roles indicated	• use in context of two
cluster	(person vs. place)	specific person
 Individuals using several items of a cluster tend to distinguish between different senses and functions 	 style: two-handed form allows for enlarging visual context: build coherent units using same handshape (handshape harmony) 	referents, add depicting constructions in context 3

Conclusion:

- Looking into individual language use can help to find usage distinctions in semantic clusters. Results need to be validated against a larger number of corpus informants: Across informants, more meaning overlaps can be observed.
- Apparent time only allows a rather coarse diachronic view on the data, competing processes like establishment of new meanings and levelling would need a finer granularity on the timeline to be separated. Exact synonyms (lexical variants) are rare, if not regionally distributed.
- Homonymy avoidance cannot be claimed as a general rule, but we find data fitting the pattern.

Poster presented at Theoretical Issues in Sign Language Research. TISLR13 2019, Hamburg, Germany. September 26-28, 2019.

incorrect.

References:

Boyes Braem, P. (1981): Features of the Handshape in American Sign Language. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley. Cuxac, C. (2000): La langue des Signes Française (LSF). Les voies de l'iconicité. Paris: Ophrys.

Gilliéron, J. / Roques, M. (1912): Études de géographie linguistique d'après l'Atlas linguistique de la France. Paris: Champion.

Hanke, T. / Storz, J. (2008): iLex – A Database Tool for Integrating Sign Language Corpus Linguistics and Sign Language Lexicography. In O. Crasborn, T. Hanke, E. Efthimiou, I. Zwitserlood, & E. Thoutenhoofd (Eds.), Construction and Exploitation of Sign Language Corpora. Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages. 6th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2008), Marrakech, Morocco. Paris: ELRA, pp. 64-67.

Hanke, T. / Konrad, R. / Langer, G. / Müller, A. / Wähl, S. (2017): Detecting Regional and Age Variation in a Growing Corpus of DGS. Poster presented at the workshop "Corpus-based" approaches to sign language linguistics: Into the second decade", Birmingham UK, 24 July, 2017.

Nishio, R. / Hong, S. / König, S./ Konrad, R. / Langer, G. / Hanke, T. / Rathmann, C. (2010): Elicitation methods in the DGS (German Sign Language) Corpus Project. Poster presented at the 4th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Corpora and Sign Language Technologies, following the 2010 LREC Conference in Malta, May 22-23, 2010. Workshop Proceedings. W13. 4th Workshop on Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Corpora and Sign Language Technologies. May 22/23, 2010. Valetta – Malta. Paris: ELRA. pp. 178-185. This publication has been produced in the context of the joint research funding of the German Federal Government and Federal States in the Academies' Programme, with funding from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research and the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. The Academies' Programme is coordinated by the Union of the German Academies of Sciences and Humanities.