

Annotation of Mouth Activities with iLex



Thomas Hanke University of Hamburg, Institute for German Sign Language and Communication of the Deaf thomas.hanke@sign-lang.uni-hamburg.de





- 1 sign uttered w/o mouth activity = 1 token tag + 1 mouth tag? The usual problem with annotation of optional data also applies to mouth activities: Does no tag mean there isn't any, or is this aspect not yet annotated? While filling in a \emptyset tag also takes time, it allows to search for signs uttered without any mouth activity. • Otherwise, you would need to make assumptions if a tag would be there if there were a mouth activity
- (as there are mouth tags nearby in the transcript). Also from a quality assurance point of view, "null tags" often make sense, e.g. to analyse the bordercases between "nothing" and "something" (cf. <energy> in Bergman/Wallin vs. <>).

Poster presented at the 6th Workshop on the Repreand Processing of Sign Languages: Beyond the Manual Channel, 2014 LREC Conference in Reykjavik, May 31, 2014.

Pedral Government and Federal States in the Academies' with funding from the Federal States in the Academies' with funding from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research and Hansealtic City of Hamburg. The Academies' Programme is but the Linion of the German Academies of Sciences and Humanifies Programme the Free and